

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/767,499	NICHTAWITZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Devin Hanan	3745	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Devin Hanan.

(3) _____.

(2) Earl Baugher (reg no. 40905).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2006

Time: 11AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102 and 103

Claims discussed:

1,13,25,37

Prior art documents discussed:

Horvath et al. US 6,045,325

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Sealing of gap of Horvath extends along axis 84 because seal 90 is deformed from a circle to a crescent shape. Applicant has overcome the reference by adding the language "reducing, but not sealing the gap".

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)